



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/579,872		26/2000	Jeffrey Steven Albrecht	00JSA001 9690	
7	590	06/05/2003			
Eugene Mora			EXAMINER		
Morgan & Finnegan LLP 345 Park Avenue				KAPADIA, MILAN S	
New York, NY 10154			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3626		
				DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	09/579,872	ALBRECHT, JEFFREY VJ					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Milan S Kapadia	3626					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>Milan S Kapadia</u>	(3) Richard Martinelli (Reg. NO. 52,003).						
(2) <u>Joseph Thomas</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 20 May 2003.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: 1 in particular and all of record in gene	e <u>ral</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Brown (6,161,095)</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. €	g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	//A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO	e last Office action has already	been filed, APPLICANT IS					

INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 09/579,872

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the differences between the claimed invention and the applied prior art. Specically the Applicant argued how the "medical logging" step of the claimed invention was different than the medical logging of the applied prior art. The Examiner held that the prior art did teach the recited features by giving the "medical logging" step its broadest reasonable interpretation. The Examiner suggested claim language that would more clearly distinguish claimed invention over the prior art. Examiner noted that while other arguments and/or amendments may be presented in order for Examiner to reconsider the applied prior art of record, any amendment requiring further search and/or consideration will not be entered.